

SHIRE OF LEONORA

MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING



LEONORA

Star of the West

**HELD IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS,
LEONORA ON TUESDAY,
18th February, 2003**

SHIRE OF LEONORA

Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting held in Council Chambers, Leonora on Tuesday 18th February, 2003 commencing at 9:00am.

1.0 DECLARATION OF OPENING / ANNOUNCEMENTS OF VISITORS / FINANCIAL INTEREST DISCLOSURES

1.1 President Cr Dawes declared the meeting open at 9:20am

1.2 Financial Interest Disclosure - Nil

2.0 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE / APOLOGIES / LEAVE OF ABSENCE (previously approved)

2.1 PRESENT

The President
Councillors

G R Dawes
T C Demasson
M J Mulcahy
S J Heather
T P Hewson
J F Carter
P J Craig
B S D Taylor
J G Epis
J F Rowe

Chief Executive Officer
Deputy Chief Executive Officer

2.2 APOLOGIES

Nil

2.3 LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr N G Johnson

3.0 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE

Nil.

4.0 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Nil.

5.0 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr Dawes submitted an application for the 18th March, 2003 Meeting.

Moved Cr Carter Seconded Cr Taylor – That this leave be granted.

CARRIED (7 VOTES TO 0)

6.0 PETTITIONS / DEPUTATIONS / PRESENTATIONS

Nil

7.0 CONFIRMATION OF THE MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

Moved Cr Demasson seconded Cr Hewson that the Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting held on Tuesday, 21st January, 2003 be confirmed as a true and accurate record.

CARRIED (8 VOTES TO 0)

7.0(A) MINUTES OF ELECTORS MEETING

Minutes noted with no further action required.

8.0 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PRESIDING MEMBER WITHOUT DISCUSSION

Nil

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(A) MANAGEMENT CONTROL – MALCOLM DAM

SUBMISSION TO: Meeting of Council
Meeting Date: 18th February, 2003

AGENDA REFERENCE: 9.1 (A) FEB03

SUBJECT: Management Control – Malcolm Dam

LOCATION / ADDRESS: Leonora

NAME OF APPLICANT: Not Applicable

FILE REFERENCE: Lands Department Reserves 6.6

AUTHOR, DISCLOSURE OF ANY INTEREST AND DATE OF REPORT

NAME: James Gregory Epis

OFFICER: Chief Executive Officer

INTEREST DISCLOSURE: Nil

DATE: 22nd January, 2003

BACKGROUND

In the early 1990's , as part of the preparation of the Department of Conservation and Land Management Goldfields Regional Management Plan, there was some correspondence between that Department and this local government regarding the future of the 400 hectare Malcolm Dam Nature Reserve No 8946. Council at this time resolved not to accept management control.

With changing rolls of the Department of Conservation and Land Management with a greater emphasis on conservation, a further review of their managed reserves has been undertaken. The Departments original recommendation was that Malcolm Dam Reserve No 8946 be relinquished by CALM and vested in the Shire of Leonora for recreation purposes.

The Department would now like to further progress the original recommendation and proceed with either cancellation of the nature reserve with reversion to unallocated Crown Land or simultaneous vesting in the local government.

The idea of unallocated Crown Land should definitely not be an option. This would mean that a third party could apply and probably obtain some form of tenure through the Department of Land Administration rather easily.

It is my suggestion, if Council resolved to accept management control, that the reserve be amalgamated with the surrounding Common Reserve which is currently vested with the Shire of Leonora. This would mean that Malcolm Dam would no longer be a Nature Reserve; however Council would have management control over the area.

Council at its meeting held on the 20th November, 2001 resolved to seek the assistance of the Local Government Insurance Services in regards a Risk Management Audit prior to any decision being made. In December, 2002 Mr Robert MacKenzie, Risk Manager with Local Government Insurance Services did inspect Malcolm Dam.

It was his opinion that the Shire of Leonora, if it accepted responsibility for Malcolm Dam, would undoubtedly owe visitors to the dam a Common Law Duty of Care. This Duty broadly requires that all "reasonable care" be taken by the local government to avoid foreseeable risks that could cause loss and or injury. The operative word is "reasonable".

Some reasonable measures that Council could consider include:

- The entrance to the dam area (i.e. the road leading from the Leonora – Laverton Highway) should be considered a "control point" meaning a point where the majority of visitors will need to pass in order to access the dam. An additional control point could be a car park constructed near the dam, where rubbish bins, barbecues etc are accessible.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(A) MANAGEMENT CONTROL – MALCOLM DAM (continued)

- It is at these control points that the local government should consider the provision of signage, (i.e. Australian compliant pictogram type signs). It should be further remembered that “warning” signs are diamond in shape, yellow backgrounds with black pictogram. “Prohibitory” signs are circular with red borders and black pictograms.

In regards to “warning” signs, Council might wish to include –

- Deep Water
- Submerged rocks
- Deep Hole.

Regarding “prohibit” signs, the following should be considered –

- No littering
- No camping
- No naked flames
- No diving
- No swimming

In addition to the pictogram Council may wish to re-emphasise the message with some text beside the pictogram such as “No Diving”.

Council may also think it appropriate to include some visitor friendly messages such as some history regarding the dam.

Some additional “reasonable” measures could include –

- Locating a “Stop” sign at the junction with the Leonora – Laverton Highway.
- Discussing with Main Roads Western Australian whether any additional signage might be necessary on the Highway.
- Grading and improving the access road between the dam and the Highway.
- Ensure that the dam is regularly inspected by Council staff and that records of these inspections are maintained.

As water levels in the Dam fluctuate, some care will need to be taken when selecting a suitable location for signs.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

If Council resolves to accept management control of the Dam, all “reasonable care” initiatives will need to be documented and forwarded to the Local Government Insurance Services for their records.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Funding to comply with “reasonable care” requirements as detailed in this report has not been considered in the current budget. Upgrading of the access road, signage and other services requirements is expected to cost in the vicinity of \$25,000.00, an amount which will need to be included in the 2003 / 2004 budget if Council proceeds with the proposal.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

With careful planning, Malcolm Dam could become an excellent tourist destination.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Shire of Leonora accept management control of Malcolm Dam Reserve No 8946 (with future intention to amalgamate with Common Reserve 7521) and that “all reasonable care” initiatives as outlined in this report be implemented and that Local Government Insurance Services of WA be advised accordingly.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(A) MANAGEMENT CONTROL – MALCOLM DAM (continued)

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple majority required.

Moved Cr Demasson seconded Cr Taylor

That the Shire of Leonora accept management control of Malcolm Dam Reserve No 8946 (with future intention to amalgamate with Common Reserve 7521) and that “all reasonable care” initiatives as outlined in this report be implemented and that Local Government Insurance Services of WA be advised accordingly.

CARRIED (8 VOTES TO 0)

Meeting adjourned for morning tea at 10.05am until 10.31am.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(B) LEASE OF LAND AGNEW TOWNSITE

SUBMISSION TO: Meeting of Council
Meeting Date: 18th February, 2003

AGENDA REFERENCE: 9.1 (B) FEB03

SUBJECT: Lease of Land Agnew Townsite

LOCATION / ADDRESS: Agnew

NAME OF APPLICANT: Not Applicable

FILE REFERENCE: Lands Department Other Townsites 6.3

AUTHOR, DISCLOSURE OF ANY INTEREST AND DATE OF REPORT

NAME: James Gregory Epis

OFFICER: Chief Executive Officer

INTEREST DISCLOSURE: Nil

DATE: 24th January, 2003

BACKGROUND

The Department of Land Administration has again sought comment from Council regarding their proposal to make land available for lease within the Agnew Townsite.

As you are aware, this Council and many other Councils in the past have opposed any new land releases within the Agnew Townsite, simply due to the lack of services, no adequate water supply, no electricity, no rubbish collections etc. being provided.

The Council in 1979 was of the opinion that Agnew, no longer being on the main road after the sealing of the Goldfield Highway to Leinster would become non existent, not knowing at that time that planned development within the Leinster Townsite would be confined to WMC Resource operations only.

It is my opinion that the likelihood of demand for land within the townsite of Agnew will continue and for this reason I think it important that the present Council revisit this issue. I provide the following for consideration:

- Correspondence from the Department of Land Administration in the past clearly demonstrates that land in the undeveloped townsite of Agnew is in demand.
- It is obvious that the Department of Land Administration is prepared to make land available within the Agnew townsite and has on all occasions sought the opinion of Council before progressing the issue.
- The willingness of the Department of Land Administration to make land available is further demonstrated by the fact that they are prepared to draw up lease agreements, quite different from the usual ones.
- The Mining Industry (this Councils most important rate contributor) is to a considerable degree dependent on the outside work force such as contractors.
- Contractors have difficulty in obtaining land for accommodation and facilities such as workshops in the vicinities of where the work is, that is, the mines especially in the northern section of the Shire around Leinster.
- In the case of the developed town Leinster, problems arise because of a quasi closed town situation.
- All assistance ought to be given to any contracting workforce; indeed their enterprise should be applauded and encouraged.
- Agnew should not be classified as an abandoned townsite, such as we have a number within the Shire area. The basic structure of a town does exist and indeed some private residences are in existence as well as a hotel.
- Today, sealed roads connect Agnew with neighbouring communities.

It could be argued that DOLA's proposal to lease land at Agnew could be constructed as irresponsible. If the Department is willing to make land available it ought to be accompanied by the provision of facilities which simply would never happen.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(B) LEASE OF LAND AGNEW TOWNSITE (continued)

A contractor seeking such land and waiving rights to basic facilities could equally be seen as irresponsible towards his workforce. The very reasons for constituting Local Governments was for the proper development of areas and the well being of the community. This Council would have great difficulties complying with this charter.

The proposal by DOLA, if approved by Council is likely to attract further demand from others.

Nevertheless, it is my opinion that a change is now required and that Council should not oppose DOLA's intention to lease land within the Agnew townsite provided indemnity against the provision of any normal local government service is forthcoming from the lease holder.

Referring back to the first paragraph of this report, DOLA is considering making Agnew Lots 156, 157, 158, and 150 available for lease for the purpose of "Storage and Parking".

Minesite Glass is seeking land to provide storage for large packs of glass which is freighted up from Perth and to house trucks and light vehicles required to run their business. It is the company's aim to be totally self sufficient. The company has its own provisions for supplying their power, sanitation; lighting and water and have stated in writing that they would require no services from the local government. It is a possibility that in the future they will need to provide accommodation and office space on the property.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

If Council concurs to a change in attitude regarding the leasing of land within the Agnew townsite it will be most important that Council is seen as making decisions consistent with one another.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

With the lack of opportunities to acquire land within the Leinster townsite, leasing of land within the Agnew townsite will at least provide a base for contractors to operate from. Service industry in the northern section of the Shire is healthy and appears to be expanding at a steady rate. The leasing of land at Agnew will provide additional income by way of rates to the local government.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council resolve not to object to the leasing of lots 156, 157, 158 and 150 Campbell Street Agnew provided the following conditions form part of the lease agreement:

- That the Minister for Lands, the State Government, the Shire of Leonora or any government instrumentality (including, without limitation, Water Corporation, Western Power or Alinta Gas) not be responsible for provision or connection of any services to the Land.
- That the lease holder, at their cost, undertake a survey of the property boundaries prior to the land being fenced and or developed.

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple majority required.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(B) LEASE OF LAND AGNEW TOWNSITE (continued)

Moved Cr Carter seconded Cr Hewson

That Council resolve not to object to the leasing of lots 156, 157, 158 and 150 Campbell Street Agnew provided the following conditions form part of the lease agreement:

- *That the Minister for Lands, the State Government, the Shire of Leonora or any government instrumentality (including, without limitation, Water Corporation, Western Power or Alinta Gas) not be responsible for provision or connection of any services to the Land.*
- *That the lease holder, at their cost, undertake a survey of the property boundaries prior to the land being fenced and or developed.*
- *That the lease be reviewed after five years.*

CARRIED (8 VOTES TO 0)

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS
9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
9.1(C) ROAD TRAIN ROUTES – LEONORA TOWNSITE

SUBMISSION TO: Meeting of Council
Meeting Date: 18th February, 2003

AGENDA REFERENCE: 9.1 (C) FEB03

SUBJECT: Road Train Routes – Leonora Townsite

LOCATION / ADDRESS: Leonora

NAME OF APPLICANT: Not Applicable

FILE REFERENCE: Roads – Closure / Dedication / Road Train Permits 7.7

AUTHOR, DISCLOSURE OF ANY INTEREST AND DATE OF REPORT

NAME: James Gregory Epis

OFFICER: Chief Executive Officer

INTEREST DISCLOSURE: Nil

DATE: 28th January, 2003

BACKGROUND

The issue of road train operations within the Leonora townsite in particular road train routes was raised in general discussion following the last meeting of Council. It was suggested at that time that I prepare a report on the issue for discussion at the February meeting of Council.

Main Roads Western Australia have produced a set of guidelines to assist local government and consultants in assessing the suitability of routes proposed for the operation of Multi Combination Vehicles (MCV's) on urban, rural and townsite roads.

MCV's include the following:

- B-doubles: Up to 27.5 metres in length
- Long vehicles: Up to 27.5 metres in length
- Road Trains: Double road trains up to 36.5 metres
Triple road trains up to 53.5 metres

Route assessment should be undertaken by a person who has experience with the heavy transport industry and a substantial knowledge of the following:

- the principles of heavy vehicle operations, including vehicle configurations, maximum dimensions and axle load limits.
- limitations on the ability of heavy vehicles to accelerate, brake, ascend grades and negotiate corners.
- heavy transport issues, legal requirements and permit systems.
- road safety concepts and principals.

Before using MCV's on any road, it is necessary to obtain the approval of Main Roads Western Australia. Before making a decision on an application for route access, Main Roads may deem it necessary to do any or all of the following:

- perform an assessment of the route.
- assess the stability of the vehicle and load.
- conduct monitored field trials.
- assess the suitability of the road pavement
- specify conditions of access such as speed limits, hours of operation or accreditation requirements.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(C) ROAD TRAIN ROUTES – LEONORA TOWNSITE (continued)

If there is sufficient reason to doubt the suitability of the route, Main Roads will refuse an application, even if the route has been given a favourable assessment using prepared guidelines.

Current road train routes within the Leonora townsite include:

- Tower Street (Goldfields Highway).
- To railway yards from Tower Street via Rajah Street.
- To Laverton via Rochester Street.
- To parking areas in Otterburn Street (Rochester Street to Rajah Street) from Tower Street via Rajah Street or Rochester Street.
- Forrest Street (west of Tower Street only).

As the railway yards no longer exist, the approved route from Tower Street via Rajah Street to the west should end at Kurrajong Street, if the whole of Kurrajong Street is approved as a road train route. Furthermore, to satisfy the requirements of road train operations currently based in Leonora and others transporting goods and materials into the community, Hall, Steel and the western section of Rochester from Otterburn Street should also be considered as road train routes.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Nil

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

The proposal to create additional road train routes in the townsite will legalise the movement of road trains.

RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council resolve that a recommendation be submitted to MRWA that Hall, Steel, Kurrajong and the western section of Rochester Street from Otterburn Street be considered as road train routes and that an assessment of each street be undertaken by a MRWA representative or experienced consultant prior to final approval being granted.

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority required

Moved Cr Taylor seconded Cr Mulcahy

That Council resolve that a recommendation be submitted to MRWA that Hall, Steel, Kurrajong and the western section of Rochester Street from Otterburn Street be considered as road train routes and that an assessment of each street be undertaken by a MRWA representative or experienced consultant prior to final approval being granted.

CARRIED (8 VOTES TO 0)

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS
9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
9.1(d) REVEGETATION OF LAND

SUBMISSION TO: Meeting of Council
Meeting Date: 18th February, 2003

AGENDA REFERENCE: 9.1 (d) FEB03

SUBJECT: Revegetation of Land

LOCATION / ADDRESS: Leonora

NAME OF APPLICANT: Not Applicable

FILE REFERENCE: Agriculture – Rangeland Re-generation

AUTHOR, DISCLOSURE OF ANY INTEREST AND DATE OF REPORT

NAME: James Gregory Epis

OFFICER: Chief Executive Officer

INTEREST DISCLOSURE: Nil

DATE: 3rd February, 2003

BACKGROUND

In regions undergoing significant land use change through urban or industrial development, local government responsibilities for land use planning and development approval are the most significant ways in which local governments are able to contribute to the conservation of native vegetation.

Local governments can directly regulate land use in the following ways:

- Local governments are able to use land use zones to designate different areas of their local shire for different purposes. In the same way that areas for future industry development can be set aside, areas of significant conservation value can be identified and set aside for conservation.
- At a finer scale, councils regulate land use through the process of considering development applications. In these cases, councils may refuse or attach conditions to the application for development. Land use decisions that are made at a strategic level well in advance of new development pressures will have the greater chance of success. Local governments have traditionally used planning tools to strategically plan future development. It is only more recently that conservation values are being integrated into existing land use planning frameworks. Councils are increasingly able to create land use zones that are specifically devoted to nature conservation within their local planning schemes. It has been clearly demonstrated in the past that recreation management has dominated the management of public space zones, often at the expense of conservation values.

Within the townsite of Leonora, two areas of significance have been zoned as “Parkland”.

- (I) land on Tower Street, between Court and Steel Streets (east of land being developed by Hercules Crane Hire Pty Ltd); and
- (II) land on the southern side of Rochester Street bordering Fitzgerald Drive, Cleland Court and Walton Street.

Both sites occupy a prominent location on the main entrance to Leonora from Kalgoorlie Boulder (Goldfields Highway) to the south and Laverton (Outback Highway) to the east.

The open aspect for the main entrance to Leonora has been preserved which does permit the re-establishment of native vegetation in the area that has been historically been cleared.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(d) REVEGETATION OF LAND (continued)

“Parkland” is publicly owned land. The term publicly owned land is used in the broadest sense to refer to all land held by the State. Only a proportion of this land is managed directly by local governments, with the responsibilities of local government varying considerably between jurisdictions.

In this case, the Department of Land Administration has management control of the land and has no objection to any environmental program that Council would like to implement.

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

In accordance with the Shire of Leonora Town Planning Scheme – zoning requirements.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

In-kind support from industry (i.e. machinery and material) and the community (voluntary labour) would significantly reduce council’s cost.

Water connection , some plumbing work and the costs of plants/trees would be main expense.

If the project was successful I am sure Government Grants would be available to assist with future projects.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Australia’s native vegetation is one of the richest and most fundamental elements of our natural heritage. Native vegetation binds and nourishes our ancient soils; shelters and sustains wild life, absorbs carbon dioxide and emits oxygen.

The depletion and degradation of native vegetation communities threatens the long term health and productive capacity of Australian landscapes. Destruction of native vegetation is the single biggest cause of biodiversity loss; it is a primary cause of land degradation, salinity and declining water quality; and is one of the biggest contributors to our net greenhouse gas emissions.

Land clearing is therefore an important issue to address but other factors are important too. Land clearing is compounded by widespread but insidious losses of remnant vegetation. Grazing pressure, old age, insects, disease, weeds, rising water tables and firewood gathering are undermining the quality of bush remaining in rural landscapes. In cities and towns, remnants of native bush are also being depleted, fragmented and degraded incrementally.

To meet the long term challenge of the decline in native vegetation cover and the many environmental problems that result, land clearing needs to be reduced, restoration and revegetation of native vegetation communities needs to be enhanced, and improvement is needed in the protection and management of native vegetation that remains in both rural and urban landscapes.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1) That Council recognise the importance of land care and as a pilot project, the land zoned “Parkland” south of Leonora be developed accordingly, to encourage a land conservation ethic within the community, and raise the level of environmental awareness.
- 2) that the Chief Executive Officer be authorised to discuss the likelihood of in-kind support with industry leaders, interested community members, including students from the Leonora School.
- 3) that the Chief Executive Officer write to DOLA with a request that Leonora Lots 886-893 Tower Street be reserved for “Parkland” with vesting with the Shire of Leonora.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(d) REVEGETATION OF LAND (continued)

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority required

Moved Cr Taylor seconded Cr Demasson

- 1) That Council recognise the importance of land care and as a pilot project, the land zoned "Parkland" south of Leonora be developed accordingly, to encourage a land conservation ethic within the community, and raise the level of environmental awareness.*
- 2) that the Chief Executive Officer be authorised to discuss the likelihood of in-kind support with industry leaders, interested community members, including students from the Leonora School.*
- 3) that the Chief Executive Officer write to DOLA with a request that Leonora Lots 886-893 Tower Street be reserved for "Parkland" with vesting with the Shire of Leonora.*

CARRIED (8 VOTES TO 0)

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(e) TOWN PLANNING SCHEME No 1 AMENDMENT No 9

SUBMISSION TO: Meeting of Council
Meeting Date: 18th February, 2003

AGENDA REFERENCE: 9.1 (e) FEB03

SUBJECT: Town Planning Scheme No 1 Amendment No 9

LOCATION / ADDRESS: Not Applicable

NAME OF APPLICANT: Not Applicable

FILE REFERENCE: Town Planning 5.33

AUTHOR, DISCLOSURE OF ANY INTEREST AND DATE OF REPORT

NAME: James Gregory Epis

OFFICER: Chief Executive Officer

INTEREST DISCLOSURE: Nil

DATE: 5th February, 2003

BACKGROUND

At the January meeting of Council it was resolved that the Shire of Leonora Town Planning Scheme No 1 Amendment No 9 as presented be adopted for final approval and that the proposed Amendment be forwarded to the Western Australian Planning Commission and the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure for their consideration and approval.

In my report to Council I stated that The Heritage Council of WA, Water Corporation and the Environmental Protection Authority supported the proposed Amendments. I further advised that other than general comments supporting the Amendment, no submissions were received.

As the correspondence from The Heritage Council of WA was received during the compulsory advertising period it must be considered as a submission and considered by Council accordingly.

The submission from the Heritage Council of WA reads as follows:

“Leonora Town Planning Scheme No 1 Amendment No 9”

Thank you for your correspondence received on 7th October, 2002 regarding the Leonora Town Planning Scheme No 1 Amendment No 9.

We thank you for the opportunity to provide comment and note the proposed changes to the land use classes pertaining to the Gwalia Historical Precinct to enable the former Mine Manager’s House to be utilised as a function centre, guesthouse or tea rooms.

A Conservation Officer with Delegated Authority from the Heritage Council’s Development Committee has assessed the proposed amendment and advises you that it is supported.

Mr Ian Baxter
DIRECTOR

As the Heritage Council supports the Amendment the submission should be noted with no further action and no modification to the proposed amendment.

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.1(e) TOWN PLANNING SCHEME No 1 AMENDMENT No 9 (continued)

STATUTORY ENVIRONMENT

In accordance with Section 7 of the Town Planning and Development Act 1928 and the Shire of Leonora Town Planning Scheme No 1

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nil

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

An amount of \$10,824.00 is contained within the current budget to cover general town planning expenses.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

Nil

RECOMMENDATIONS

That Council note the submission received from the Heritage Council of Western Australia and that the proposed amendment as presented and adopted at the January, 2003 Meeting of Council remain unchanged without any modification.

VOTING REQUIREMENT

Simple Majority required

Moved Cr Carter seconded Cr Demasson

That Council note the submission received from the Heritage Council of Western Australia and that the proposed amendment as presented and adopted at the January, 2003 Meeting of Council remain unchanged without any modification.

CARRIED (8 VOTES TO 0)

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.2 DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.2 (A) QUARTERLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – JANUARY 2003

SUBMISSION TO:	Meeting of Council Meeting Date: 18 th February, 2003
AGENDA REFERENCE:	9.2(A) FEB 03
SUBJECT:	Quarterly Financial Statements – JANUARY 2003
AUTHOR:	J F Rowe
POSITION:	Deputy Chief Executive Officer
INTEREST DISCLOSURE:	Nil
DATE:	13 th February, 2003
COMMENT:	Quarterly statements submitted for adoption include: (a) Financial Position as at 11 th February, 2003 (b) Operating Statement Summary – 31 st January, 2003 (c) Operating Statement - Detail – 31 st January, 2003 (d) Operating Statement - Nature/Type– 31 st January, 2003 (e) Balance Sheet as at - 31 st January, 2003 (f) Statement of Fixed Assets – 31 st January, 2003 (g) Statement of Outstanding Debtors – 31 st January, 2003

RECOMMENDATION

The monthly financial statements for the month ended 31st January, 2003 consisting of Operating Statements (3), Balance Sheet, Statement of Fixed Assets, Lists of Outstanding Debtors, and the Financial Position as at 11th February, 2003 showing balances of:-

	\$	
Municipal	309,753.74	Credit
Long Service Leave Reserve	54,338.03	Credit
Fire Disaster Reserve	2,114.69	Credit
Building Reserve	144,566.04	Credit
Plant Replacement Reserve	6,808.53	Credit
Community Amenities Reserve	18,428.85	Credit
Municipal Investment	700,000.00	Credit

be adopted.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

Moved Cr Craig seconded Cr Hewson

That the monthly financial statements for the month ended 31st January, 2003 consisting of Operating Statements (3), Balance Sheet, Statement of Fixed Assets, Lists of Outstanding Debtors, and the Financial Position as at 11th February, 2003 showing balances of:-

	\$	
<i>Municipal</i>	<i>309,753.74</i>	<i>Credit</i>
<i>Long Service Leave Reserve</i>	<i>54,338.03</i>	<i>Credit</i>
<i>Fire Disaster Reserve</i>	<i>2,114.69</i>	<i>Credit</i>
<i>Building Reserve</i>	<i>144,566.04</i>	<i>Credit</i>
<i>Plant Replacement Reserve</i>	<i>6,808.53</i>	<i>Credit</i>
<i>Community Amenities Reserve</i>	<i>18,428.85</i>	<i>Credit</i>
<i>Municipal Investment</i>	<i>700,000.00</i>	<i>Credit</i>

CARRIED (8 VOTES TO 0)

9.0

REPORTS OF OFFICERS

9.2 DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

9.2 (A) QUARTERLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – JANUARY 2003

SHIRE OF LEONORA		
FINANCIAL POSITION AS AT 11TH FEBRUARY, 2003		
		MUNICIPAL
		\$
Balance as at 31 st January, 2003		272,938.09CR
Receipts to 11 th February, 2003		86,532.82CR
Balance		359,470.91CR
Less Accounts since 31 st January, 2003		49,717.17DR
Balance 11th February, 2003		309,753.74CR
AMOUNT HELD ON TERM DEPOSIT - NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK		
		\$
A) PLANT REPLACEMENT RESERVE	6,808.53	CREDIT
B) LONG SERVICE LEAVE	54,338.03	CREDIT
C) FIRE DISASTER RESERVE	2,114.69	CREDIT
D) BUILDING RESERVE	144,566.04	CREDIT
E) COMMUNITY AMENITIES RESERVE	18,428.85	CREDIT
F) MUNICIPAL INVESTMENT	700,000.00	CREDIT

9.0 REPORTS OF OFFICERS
9.2 DEPUTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
9.2 (B) ACCOUNTS FOR PAYMENT – JANUARY 2003

SUBMISSION TO: Meeting of Council
Meeting Date: 18th February, 2003

AGENDA REFERENCE: 9.2(B) FEB 03

SUBJECT: Accounts for Payment

AUTHOR: J F Rowe

OFFICER: Deputy Chief Executive Officer

INTEREST DISCLOSURE: Nil

DATE: 12th February, 2003

COMMENT:

Attached statement consists of Vouchers 632 to 719 plus Direct Bank Transactions and totalling \$640,679.07 attached.

RECOMMENDATION

That accounts as represented by Vouchers 632 to 719 inclusive plus Direct Bank Transactions and totalling \$640,679.07 be authorised for payment.

VOTING REQUIREMENTS

Simple Majority

Moved Cr Mulcahy seconded Cr Craig

That accounts as represented by Vouchers 632 to 719 inclusive plus Direct Bank Transactions and totalling \$640,679.07 be authorised for payment.

CARRIED (8 VOTES TO 0)

9.3 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH OFFICER

Nil

10.0 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE INTRODUCED BY DECISION OF THE MEETING

(A) ELECTED MEMBERS

Nil

(B) OFFICERS

Nil

11.0 NEXT MEETING

The next Ordinary Meeting was set for Tuesday 18th March, 2003 in the Council Chambers Leonora, commencing at 9.00am.

12.0 CLOSURE

Cr Dawes declared the meeting closed at 11.15am

PRESIDENT

DATE